Slack
Slack is designed for “coffee break” type chat. Live questions are answered in the session. Any follow up can occur later on Slack. This is probably especially useful in the tutorial sessions – if you work on a problem or similar, you can share the results. Feel free to make whatever channels etc you wish – it is for you to use. Will be deleted about 2 weeks after the meeting- Some time after 21st August.

AtomDB Training/Questions
If you have detailed AtomDB questions or issues, please get in touch on Slack. I will be available 8-11am on Thursday and Friday.

Talks
Please remember to send slides of your talks to me so I can share them: afoster@cfa.harvard.edu
Updates to AtomDB

Adam Foster
Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory
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With contributions from:
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Lots of people who filed bug reports...
What is AtomDB?

Large collection of atomic data used to model a range of X-ray emitting plasmas

- Create **useful** spectra for data analysis
- Ensure tight **integration** into modeling tools
- Provide **open access** to all the data and the models
- Ingest and **update** models with new atomic data
- Identify atomic data needs and **communicate** them to data producers
What AtomDB does

APED (database) \rightarrow APEC (plasma code) \rightarrow Emissivity Files \rightarrow XSPEC (etc) apec model

O6+ collision strengths

Stellar Spectra

Simple input!
What’s new in 2020 [ish]

• Mostly Usability, Software and Models:
  - PyAtomDB
  - Charge Exchange
  - Non-Maxwellian Electrons
  - XSPEC/Sherpa integration
  - Website updates

• Upgrades and new work on Uncertainties
Charge Exchange Models

CX Models updated to include real cross sections from U.GA Kronos database.

Released as ACX2

Used to model M51 emission, locating interaction of AGN jet and quantifying interaction region

http://www.atomdb.org/CX/
At high energies (kT~>10keV), electron-electron interactions create an additional intense Bremsstrahlung component. As electrons approach relativistic speeds, the electron-electron brems is at least equal to the electron-proton brems. Now included in PyAtomDB, will be in XSPEC apec model after next release.
Ongoing project to open source all the atomic codes and models within AtomDB, while providing easy access to the underlying data.

**Python 3 module on Github:** [https://github.com/AtomDB](https://github.com/AtomDB) (or “pip install pyatomdb”)

**Documentation:** [https://atomdb.readthedocs.io/](https://atomdb.readthedocs.io/)

- Basing all the models on the same sets of atomic data and access routines
  - Consistency
  - New data is applied to all models simultaneously

- Modules (the interesting ones):
  - apec: the plasma code, converting atomic data to thermal plasma emissivities.
  - atomdb: tools for interacting with the database, downloading and extracting atomic data.
  - spectrum: converting the outputs of apec into an assortment of plasma spectra, for equilibrium, non-equilibrium, and other spectra.

- All models comes with wrappers allowing them to be used in XSPEC; Sherpa coming soon.
## Online Tools

### Transition information for Ne VIII, from level 7 to 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy level 7</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electron configuration</td>
<td>1s2 3d1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy above ground (eV)</td>
<td>142.2529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantum state</td>
<td>n=3, L=2, S=0.5, degeneracy=4, parity=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Level Data Source</td>
<td>NIST ASD 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photoionization Data Source</td>
<td>Clark, Cowan, and Bobrowicz 1986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy level 1</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electron configuration</td>
<td>1s2 2s1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy above ground (eV)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantum state</td>
<td>n=2, L=0, S=0.5, degeneracy=2, parity=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Level Data Source</td>
<td>2011A&amp;A..528A..69L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photoionization Data Source</td>
<td>Verner and Yakovlev 1995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 7 → 1 Interactions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electron collision rate</td>
<td>Nonzero</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron collision reference</td>
<td>2011A&amp;A..528A..69L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (theory)</td>
<td>87.157623Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition rate/Einstein A</td>
<td>2.07e+07 s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition type*</td>
<td>M1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscillator Strength f₁ → 7</td>
<td>4.714898e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (theory) reference</td>
<td>NIST ASD 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (lab/observed) reference</td>
<td>2011A&amp;A..528A..69L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition rate reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[http://www.atomdb.org/Webguide/](http://www.atomdb.org/Webguide/)
Online Tools

Replace a popular apple AtomDB App with a web based solution

http://app.atombdb.org
Change to the standard temperatures

- AtomDB Emissivity files for APEC model are stored at 51 temperatures from $10^4$ to $10^9$K.
- To get emission at intermediate temperatures, XSPEC interpolates between them.
- In some edge cases, the knots become apparent

Solutions:
1) Update to 201 temperature grid (done, now default in XSPEC). Variations now in the few % range.
2) Prefer use of rnei model (“done”, but is ~6 times slower than APEC)
3) Change simple continuum emission (e.g. Brems) to be done on the fly instead of tabulated (still in the thinking stage)
Uncertainties on atomic data are a serious underlying issue.

Complex spectra rely on millions of individual pieces of atomic data.

But which matter the most?

Start small – ionization and recombination rates.

Looked through all currently and recently available data to assemble uncertainties on experimental ionization and recombination rates.

Find average of roughly 25% uncertainty on recombination rates and 15% on ionization rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>ΔR</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O $7^+$</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>DR</td>
<td>cb</td>
<td>Kilgus et al. 1990</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Bell and Bell 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O $6^+$</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>RR</td>
<td>cb</td>
<td>Andersen et al. (1990)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O $5^+$</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>DR</td>
<td>cb</td>
<td>Anderson et al. (1990)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>DR</td>
<td>cb</td>
<td>Böhm et al. (2002)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>DR</td>
<td>cb</td>
<td>Andersen et al. (1990)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>DR</td>
<td>cb</td>
<td>Dittner et al. (1987)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O $4^+$</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>RR</td>
<td>cb</td>
<td>Andersen et al. (1990)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe $24^+$</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>DR</td>
<td>cb</td>
<td>Dittner et al. (1987)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schmidt et al. 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Applying the quoted experimental uncertainties has a huge effect on underlying rates! Minimum 30%!
The end result of most of the updates to ionization and recombination rates in recent history lie within the error range of experimental values.
Li-like ions are important

Large quantities of emission in He-like bands are dependent on neighboring ion populations

Direct Excitation
Excitation-cascade
Direct Ionization
Direct Recombination
Recombination
Cascade

1s 2p $^1P_1$ resonance line

1s 2s $^3S_1$ forbidden line

SXS Perseus He-like Fe band, minus w, x, y and z.

20-30% of the flux!
Upcoming Plans

- Release of AtomDB 3.1 (this year)
  - Change of ionization rates to Urdampilleta
  - Inclusion of ee-brems as standard, 201 temperature bins
- Interface work
  - Fold in CX and Kappa work into PyAtomDB
  - Improve code speed
  - Rewrite APEC module to improve performance
- Uncertainties work
  - Line diagnostic sensitivities to underlying atomic data
  - Estimating uncertainties on fundamental atomic data
- Density sensitive diagnostics
  - Simple models for density sensitive line ratios and ionization balance calculations
- Atomic Data
  - L shell ions will be the next priority for update

Feedback drives development!
Please let us know what you want/what you have